arXiv:0708.3738v1 [hep-th] 28 Aug 2007

KILLING SUPERALGEBRA DEFORMATIONS OF TEN-DIMENSIONAL SUPERGRAVITY BACKGROUNDS

JOSÉ FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL AND BERT VERCNOCKE

Abstract. We explore Lie superalgebra deformations of the Killing superalgebras of some ten-dimensional supergravity backgrounds. We prove the rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebras in types I, IIA and IIB, as well as of the Killing superalgebra of the Freund–Rubin vacuum of type IIB supergravity. We also prove rigidity of the Killing superalgebras of the NS5, D0, D3, D4 and D5 branes, whereas we exhibit the possible deformations of the D1, D2, D6 and D7 brane Killing superalgebras, as well as of that of the type II fundamental string solutions. We relate the superalgebra deformations of the D2 and D6 branes to those of the (delocalised) M2 brane and the Kaluza–Klein monopole, respectively. The good behaviour under Kaluza–Klein reduction suggests that the deformed superalgebras ought to have a geometric interpretation.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Type I backgrounds 3
2.1. Rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra 3
2.2. A deformation of the D1-brane superalgebra 4
2.3. Rigidity of the D5-brane superalgebra 5
3. Type IIB backgrounds 6
3.1. Rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra 6
3.2. Other maximally supersymmetric backgrounds 7
3.3. A deformation of the D1-brane superalgebra 8
3.4. A deformation of the fundamental string superalgebra 9
3.5. Rigidity of the D3-brane superalgebra 11
3.5.1. A deformation of the four-dimensional Poincaré superalgebra 12
3.6. Rigidity of the D5-brane superalgebra 13
3.7. Rigidity of the NS 5-brane superalgebra 14
3.8. A deformation of the D7-brane superalgebra 15
4. Type IIA backgrounds 16
4.1. Rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra 16
4.2. Rigidity of the D0-brane superalgebra 19
4.3. A deformation of the fundamental string superalgebra 19
4.4. A deformation of the D2-brane superalgebra 21
4.4.1. Deformations of the delocalised M2-brane superalgebra 24
4.5. Rigidity of the D4-brane superalgebra 25
4.6. Rigidity of the NS 5-brane superalgebra 27
4.7. A deformation of the D6-brane superalgebra 28

EMPG-07-14.

5. Conclusions 30
Acknowledgments 31
Appendix A. Spinorial conventions 31
References 33

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper continues the study initiated in [1] of Lie superalgebra deformations of Killing superalgebras of supergravity backgrounds [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The focus in [1] was on eleven-dimensional supergravity backgrounds: the Minkowski and Freund–Rubin backgrounds, whose Killing superalgebras were shown to be rigid, as well as the elementary branes and the elementary purely gravitational backgrounds. Of these, the M5-brane Killing superalgebra is rigid, but all the others admit deformations. The physical interpretation, if any, of these deformations was not explored in [1]: they could be due perhaps to quantum corrections or perhaps to geometric limits within classical supergravity. This latter possibility is explored further in [12]; although in the present paper we shall give indirect evidence for the geometric origin of such deformations. In this paper we treat the case of types I and II ten-dimensional supergravity backgrounds. We discuss the Minkowski vacua in all three theories as well as the elementary brane backgrounds. As in the analysis of Kaluza–Klein reductions in [13], the asymptotic flatness of the brane backgrounds allows us to rephrase questions about the symmetries of these backgrounds in terms of the symmetries of the asymptotic Minkowski vacuum. In particular their Killing superalgebras are subsuperalgebras of the relevant Poincaré superalgebra and the computation of deformations will borrow much from the case of the Poincaré superalgebras.

We will not explain the methodology in this paper. It is explained in [1, Section 2], which the reader should consult for the details. In a nutshell, the tangent space to the moduli space of deformations of a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is given by the cohomology group $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k})$, which we calculate for the Killing superalgebras of these supergravity backgrounds by using the superalgebra version of the factorisation theorem of Hochschild and Serre. If $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ we say that $\mathfrak{k}$ is *rigid*. Otherwise, every line in $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k})$ defines an infinitesimal deformation of $\mathfrak{k}$ and one can investigate whether it integrates to a one-parameter deformation. This requires the vanishing of a potentially infinite number of obstructions in $H^3(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k})$, but in practice we will not have to go beyond second order in any of the deformations found here.

The complexity of the calculations increases as we move from type I to type IIB and then to type IIA supergravities, and we have decided to organise the paper in increasing complexity. Within each theory, however, we have ordered the sections in such a way that we first treat the Minkowski and Freund–Rubin vacua and then the brane-like backgrounds in increasing brane dimension. We now give a summary of the results.

In Section 2 we discuss type I backgrounds. In Section 2.1 we prove the rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra and in Section 2.3 we prove that of the D5-brane superalgebra, whereas in Section 2.2 we exhibit a one-parameter deformation of the D1-brane superalgebra. In Section 3 we discuss type IIB backgrounds. The rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra is demonstrated in Section 3.1, whereas in Section 3.2 we discuss other maximally supersymmetric backgrounds. We sketch a proof that the superalgebra of the Freund–Rubin background is rigid, whereas the existence of the plane-wave limit shows that the superalgebra of the maximally supersymmetric wave admits at least one deformation. In Section 3.3 we exhibit a one-parameter deformation of the D1-brane superalgebra, whereas in Sections 3.5 and 3.6, we prove the rigidity of the D3- and D5-brane superalgebras, respectively. The rigidity of the D3-brane superalgebra may come as a surprise in view of the deformation of the four-dimensional Poincaré superalgebra. For completeness and because there seems to be some confusion in the literature on this topic, we work out this deformation in Section 3.5.1. In Section 3.4 and 3.8, respectively, we exhibit one-parameter deformations of the superalgebras of the D7-brane and of the fundamental string, whereas in Section 3.7 we prove the rigidity of the superalgebra of the NS5-brane. In Section 4 we discuss type IIA supergravity backgrounds. The rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra is shown in Section 4.1, whereas the rigidity of the superalgebras of the D0-, D4- and NS5-branes is shown in Sections 4.2, 4.5 and 4.6, respectively. We exhibit deformations of the fundamental string, D2- and D6-brane superalgebras in Sections 4.3, 4.4 and 4.7, respectively. The latter two deformations have their origin in the deformations of the superalgebras of the delocalised M2-brane and the Kaluza–Klein monopole in eleven-dimensional supergravity. The latter deformation was found in [1], whereas the former is described in Section 4.4.1. Finally in Section 5 we summarise our results and speculate on the geometric origin of these deformations. The paper ends with Appendix A which lists our spinor conventions and records some useful formulae.

2. Type I backgrounds

In this section we study the Lie superalgebra deformations of the Killing superalgebra [11] of some type I supergravity backgrounds: Minkowski space, which is the unique maximally supersymmetric background, and the half-BPS D1- and D5-brane backgrounds.

2.1. Rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra.

The Killing superalgebra of the Minkowski vacuum of type I supergravity is the type I Poincaré superalgebra. Let V denote a ten-dimensional lorentzian vector space and let $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ denote the corresponding Lorentz Lie algebra. The Poincaré Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{so}(V) \oplus V$. The type I spinors are chiral, and we take them to have positive chirality without loss of generality. Let Δ_+ denote their representation space. As a vector superspace, the type I Poincaré superalgebra is $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ with $\mathfrak{k}_0 \cong \mathfrak{so}(V) \oplus V$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1 \cong \Delta_+$. Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ denote an orthonormal frame for V, $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_\nu$, for $\mu < \nu$ a basis for $\Lambda^2 V$ and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ denote a basis for Δ_+. The corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}$ will be denoted P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$ and Q_α. The supertranslation ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ and Q_α, whereas the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s}$ is the span of the $L_{\mu\nu}$. The Lie brackets are those of the Lorentz subalgebra and in addition

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [L_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho] &= \eta_{\nu\rho} P_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ the Minkowski metric relative to this orthonormal frame, and where

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha = Q_\beta (\Gamma_{\mu\nu})^\beta{}_\alpha \ , \tag{2}$$

and similarly for the action of any other element in the Clifford algebra $C\ell(V)$, and

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ , \tag{3}$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the $\mathfrak{s}$-invariant symplectic structure on Δ.

We are interested in the cohomology group $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k})$ which can be computed from the complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathfrak{s}$-equivariant linear maps $\Lambda^\bullet I \to \mathfrak{k}$. We write these maps tensorially as invariant elements in $\Lambda^\bullet I^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}$. It should be pointed out that this way of writing them incurs in some signs. Indeed, whereas the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet I, \mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathfrak{k} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet I^*$ carries no sign, the isomorphism $\mathfrak{k} \otimes \Lambda^\bullet I^* \cong \Lambda^\bullet I^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}$ does carry signs whenever we are interchanging odd objects. Let P^μ and Q^α denote the canonical dual basis for I^*. The differential d of the complex $C^\bullet$ is defined uniquely by the following action on I^* and on $\mathfrak{k}$ as an I-module:

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^\mu &= \tfrac12 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\
dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\
dP_\mu &= 0 \\
dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\
dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac12 Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

As there are no Lorentz scalars in $\mathfrak{k}$, $C^0 = 0$. There are also no 1-coboundaries. The space C^1 of 1-cochains is spanned by the cochains corresponding to the identity maps $V \to V$ and $\Delta_+ \to \Delta_+$; that is, $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
d\,(P^\mu \otimes P_\mu) &= \tfrac12 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\
d\,(Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha) &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

whence we see that there is one cocycle $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. We conclude that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, corresponding to the outer derivation which gives Q_α weight 1, P_μ weight 2, and $L_{\mu\nu}$ weight 0. We also see that there is a one-dimensional space of 2-coboundaries, spanned by $\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu$.

The space of 2-cochains consists of $\mathfrak{s}$-equivariant maps $\Lambda^2 I \to \mathfrak{k}$. As there are no such maps $V \otimes \Delta_+ \to \Delta_+$ and $\Delta_+ \otimes \Delta_+ \to \Lambda^2 V$, we find only the following 2-cochains: $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu$, corresponding to the natural isomorphism $\Lambda^2 V \to \mathfrak{so}(V)$ and the projection $S^2\Delta_+ \to V$. A simple calculation shows that

$$d\,(P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}) = \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P^\nu \wedge Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes L_{\mu\nu} + \tfrac12 P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \neq 0 \ , \tag{6}$$

whence the only cocycle is also a coboundary and hence $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$, showing the rigidity of the type I Poincaré superalgebra.

2.2. A deformation of the D1-brane superalgebra.

To describe the type I D1-brane superalgebra, we split the ten-dimensional space as $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, where W is lorentzian and two-dimensional. The D1 superalgebra is $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ with $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1 \cong \Delta_{\mathrm{D1}} = \{\varepsilon \in \Delta_+ | \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon = \varepsilon\}$, where the volume element $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ of W is skewsymmetric relative to the spinor inner product and satisfies $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$. Let $L_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} L$, P_μ, L_{ab} and Q_α be a basis for $\mathfrak{k}$. The nonzero Lie brackets are those of $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and in addition

$$\begin{aligned}
[L, Q_\alpha] &= -\tfrac12 Q_\alpha \\
[L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \\
[L, P_\mu] &= \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Since $\mathfrak{so}(W)$ is abelian, it is not part of the semisimple factor and it must be included in the ideal I, which is now spanned by P_μ, Q_α and L. The semisimple subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ is now $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$. However $\mathfrak{r} := \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ is reductive and a slight refinement of the Hochschild–Serre factorisation theorem allows us to work with cochains which are invariant under $\mathfrak{r}$. Indeed, the cohomology group $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k})$ can be computed from the complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^\mathfrak{r}$ of $\mathfrak{r}$-equivariant linear maps $\Lambda^\bullet I \to \mathfrak{k}$. Letting L^*, P^μ, Q^α denote the canonical dual basis for I^*, the differential d of this complex is defined uniquely by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta + \epsilon^\mu{}_\nu L^* \wedge P^\nu \\ dQ^\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2} L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \\ dL^* &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= L^* \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\tfrac{1}{2} L^* \otimes Q_\alpha - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\ dL &= -P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu - \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In this case, $C^0 = \mathfrak{k}^\mathfrak{r}$ is spanned by L, but since $dL \neq 0$, $H^0(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ and $\dim B^1 = 1$. The space of 1-cochains is 4-dimensional, with basis $L^* \otimes L$, $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. Finally, the space of 2-cochains is 5-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu} L$, $L^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $L^* \otimes P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu$, $L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$. Computing the differentials $d : C^1 \to C^2$ and $d : C^2 \to C^3$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $\varphi := 2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, and $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $L^* \wedge \varphi$. This infinitesimal deformation integrates to a one-parameter family of Lie superalgebras with brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [L, Q_\alpha] &= (t - \tfrac{1}{2}) Q_\alpha \\ [L, P_\mu] &= 2t P_\mu + \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

in addition to those involving $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, which remain undeformed. The reader may be forgiven for suspecting a discrepancy from the sign of the t-dependent terms in the brackets above and the relative sign in the cocycle φ. As explained above, this is due to the signs in the isomorphism $W \otimes V^* \cong V^* \otimes W$ whenever V and W are both odd subspaces. The signs can be read off from the formulae in [1, Section 2].

2.3. **Rigidity of the D5-brane superalgebra.** The type I D5-brane superalgebra is the subsuperalgebra of the Poincaré superalgebra defined as follows. We first split the ten-dimensional lorentzian vector space $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, where W is a six-dimensional lorentzian subspace. Then the D5-brane superalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$, where $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1 \cong \Delta_{\mathrm{D5}} = \{\varepsilon \in \Delta_+ | \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon = \varepsilon\}$, where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ is the Clifford algebra element which represents the volume form of W. It is skewsymmetric relative to the invariant symplectic form on spinors and satisfies $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$. Let ε_α be a basis for Δ_{D5} and $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ bases for W and $W^\perp$, respectively. Let Q_α and P_μ denote the corresponding basis for the ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$. The semisimple subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ is spanned by $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}. Relative to this basis, the Lie brackets of $\mathfrak{k}$ are given by those of $\mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [L_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho] &= \eta_{\nu\rho} P_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where again

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha = Q_\beta (\Gamma_{\mu\nu})^\beta{}_\alpha \tag{11}$$

and

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ . \tag{12}$$

Let P^μ and Q^α denote the canonical dual basis for I^*. The differential d of the complex $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is defined uniquely by

$$\begin{aligned} dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\ dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= 0 \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\ dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Again we see that $C^0 = \mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$ and that C^1 is spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. Similarly, C^2 is spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$. It is easy to compute the differentials $d : C^1 \to C^2$ and $d : C^2 \to C^3$ and we see that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, and that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$, whence the type I D5-brane superalgebra is rigid.

3. Type IIB backgrounds

In this section we explore the Lie superalgebra deformations of the Killing superalgebras of certain type IIB backgrounds. We start with the Minkowski vacuum, treat briefly the other maximally supersymmetric backgrounds and then go on to the elementary brane backgrounds.

3.1. **Rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra.** The Killing superalgebra of the Minkowski vacuum is the type IIB Poincaré superalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$, with $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(V) \oplus V$ the Poincaré algebra and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ isomorphic to two copies of the positive chirality spinor representation Δ_+ of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. We will denote these two copies by Δ^I_+, where $I = 1, 2$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ be a basis for Δ_+ and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^I_\alpha$ and Q^I_α denote the corresponding bases for Δ^I_+ and $\mathfrak{k}_1$, respectively. Then $\mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$, and Q^I_α subject to the following brackets, in addition to the ones of the Poincaré subalgebra,

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\mu\nu}, Q^I_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q^I_\alpha \\ [Q^I_\alpha, Q^J_\beta] &= \delta^{IJ} \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q^I_\alpha = Q^I_\beta (\Gamma_{\mu\nu})^\beta{}_\alpha \ , \tag{15}$$

and

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ . \tag{16}$$

In other words, $\mathrm{C}\ell(V)$ and the spinor inner product act independently in each of the two copies of the spinor representation.

The supertranslation ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is now spanned by Q^I_α and P_μ whereas the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$. Let Q^α_I and P^μ denote the canonical dual basis for I^*.

Let $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ denote the complex of $\mathfrak{s}$-invariant maps $\Lambda^\bullet I \to \mathfrak{k}$, with differential d defined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} dP^\mu &= \tfrac12 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\ dQ^\alpha_I &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= 0 \\ dQ^I_\alpha &= -\delta^{IJ}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta_J \otimes P_\mu \\ dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho}P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho}P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac12 Q^\alpha_I \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q^I_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

As there are no Lorentz scalars in $\mathfrak{k}$, $C^0 = \mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$. The space C^1 of 1-cochains is 5-dimensional, spanned by the cochains corresponding to the identity maps $V \to V$ and $\Delta^I_+ \to \Delta^J_+$, namely $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$ and $Q^\alpha_I \otimes Q^J_\alpha$. The space C^2 is 4-dimensional, spanned by the natural isomorphism $\Lambda^2 V \cong \mathfrak{so}(V)$ and the projections $\Delta^I_+ \otimes \Delta^J_+ \to V$ which are symmetric in $I \leftrightarrow J$. Evaluating the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(P^\mu \otimes P_\mu\right) &= \tfrac12 \delta^{IJ}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha_I \wedge Q^\beta_J \otimes P_\mu \\ d\left(Q^\alpha_I \otimes Q^J_\alpha\right) &= \delta^{JK}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha_I \wedge Q^\beta_K \otimes P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

from where we see that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, with representative cocycles $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha_I \otimes Q^I_\alpha$ and ${\epsilon_J}^I Q^\alpha_I \otimes Q^J_\alpha$. This means that $\dim B^2 = 3$ and since

$$d\left(P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}\right) = \delta^{IJ}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha_I \wedge Q^\beta_J \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu} + \tfrac12 P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \wedge Q^\alpha_I \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q^I_\alpha \neq 0 \ , \tag{19}$$

we see that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ and the IIB Poincaré superalgebra is rigid.

3.2. **Other maximally supersymmetric backgrounds.** As shown in [14], there are only two other maximally supersymmetric IIB backgrounds: the Freund–Rubin background [15] with geometry $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$, and the maximally supersymmetric wave [8]. The Killing superalgebra of the Freund–Rubin background is the simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$, whereas that of the maximally supersymmetric wave is the contraction [16, 17, 18] induced by the plane-wave limit [19, 20]. This observation implies that the Killing superalgebra of the maximally supersymmetric wave is not rigid, and it admits at least a one-parameter family of deformations, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$ for nonzero values of the parameter. We will not compute the space of deformations in this paper, but as in the similar situation in eleven dimensions [1], we would be surprised if there were any other deformations.

As for $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$ itself, the fact that it is simple does not immediately imply that it is rigid. A closer look at the rigidity results for simple Lie superalgebras [21] shows that the crucial condition used in the proof is the nondegeneracy of the Killing form. Whereas Cartan's criterion guarantees that this is the case for semisimple Lie algebras, this is not the case for superalgebras. Indeed, in Kac's list [22] there are simple Lie superalgebras with degenerate (or even zero) Killing form and indeed, the Lie superalgebra of type $D(2,1)$ has zero Killing form and admits a one-parameter deformation $D(2,1;\alpha)$ which remains simple for all values of α. Curiously, as shown in [3], the Killing superalgebra of the near-horizon geometry of a $\frac18$-BPS configuration of rotating intersecting branes in eleven-dimensional supergravity is isomorphic to two copies of $D(2,1;\alpha)$—the parameter α having a geometric interpretation as the ratio of the radii of the two 3-spheres in the near-horizon geometry $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$ too has zero Killing form, hence the result of [21] does not apply, and moreover since the algebra is simple, there is no Hochschild–Serre factorisation.

However the cohomology $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k})$ may be calculated from the subcomplex of cochains which are invariant under the semisimple even subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{su}(2,2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(4)$. The space of 2-cochains breaks up into three, which are the $\mathfrak{k}_0$-invariant subspaces of $\Lambda^2\mathfrak{k}_0^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}_0$, $\mathfrak{k}_0^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}_1^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}^1$ and $S^2\mathfrak{k}_1^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}_0$. As an $\mathfrak{k}_0$-module, $\mathfrak{k}_0 = (\Lambda^2 V^{(2,4)} \otimes \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\mathbb{R} \otimes \Lambda^2 V^{(6)})$, where $V^{(2,4)}$ and $V^{(6)}$ are the vector representations of $\mathfrak{so}(2,4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2,2)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(6) \cong \mathfrak{su}(4)$, respectively. Similarly, $\mathfrak{k}_1 = [\![\Delta^{(2,4)} \otimes \Delta^{(6)}]\!]$, where $\Delta^{(2,4)}$ and $\Delta^{(6)}$ are the positive-chirality spinor representations of $\mathfrak{so}(2,4)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(6)$, respectively, which are complex four-dimensional, and where if W is a complex vector space, $[\![W]\!]$ is a real vector space defined by $[\![W]\!] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = W \oplus \bar{W}$. In other words, it is the vector space spanned by the real and imaginary parts of the vectors in W, whence $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}[\![W]\!] = 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W$. In this case, $\Delta^{(2,4)} \otimes \Delta^{(6)}$ is complex and 16-dimensional, whence $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is real and 32-dimensional, as expected. Since $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is semisimple, it is rigid as a Lie algebra, we can assume that its Lie brackets remain undeformed, hence we can assume that a cocycle defining an infinitesimal deformation of $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$ has no components in $\Lambda^2\mathfrak{k}_0^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}_0$. By the same token, the rigidity of $\mathfrak{k}_1$ as an $\mathfrak{k}_0$-module says that the putative cocycle cannot have components in $\mathfrak{k}_0^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}_1^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}^1$, whence the cocycle, if it exists, must belong to the $\mathfrak{k}_0$-invariant subspace of $S^2\mathfrak{k}_1^* \otimes \mathfrak{k}_0$. A simple roots-and-weights calculation shows that this space is two-dimensional made out of the natural maps

$$\Delta^{(2,4)} \otimes \bar{\Delta}^{(2,4)} \to \mathbb{R} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta^{(6)} \otimes \bar{\Delta}^{(6)} \to \Lambda^2 V^{(6)} \tag{20}$$

$$\Delta^{(6)} \otimes \bar{\Delta}^{(6)} \to \mathbb{R} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta^{(2,4)} \otimes \bar{\Delta}^{(2,4)} \to \Lambda^2 V^{(2,4)} \tag{21}$$

which means that the $[\mathfrak{k}_1,\mathfrak{k}_1]$ bracket has two parameters, which we can choose to take the value 1 in the undeformed superalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$. The $(\mathfrak{k}_1,\mathfrak{k}_1,\mathfrak{k}_1)$ Jacobi identity fixes the ratio of these two parameters to be 1 and we can further set them to be equal to 1 by rescaling the odd generators, hence proving the rigidity of the superalgebra.

3.3. **A deformation of the D1-brane superalgebra.** The Killing superalgebra of the type IIB D1-brane is the subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of the IIB Poincaré superalgebra with $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, where $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ is the decomposition of the 10-dimensional lorentzian vector space into a 2-dimensional lorentzian subspace W, corresponding to the brane worldvolume and its 8-dimensional perpendicular complement. The odd subspace $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the graph $\Delta_{\mathrm{D1}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+$ of the endomorphism $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_+$ corresponding to the volume form of W. As in the type I D1-brane, the extension of $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ to the Clifford module is skewsymmetric relative to the spinor inner product and obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ span Δ_+. Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha\end{pmatrix}$ be a basis for Δ_{D1}. The corresponding basis of $\mathfrak{k}$ is given by P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu}L$, L_{ab} and Q_α. The Lie brackets are inherited from those in equation (14) and are given explicitly, in addition to those involving L_{ab}, by

$$\begin{aligned} [L, Q_\alpha] &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [L, P_\mu] &= \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ . \tag{23}$$

As in the case of the type I D1-brane calculation, the ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ includes the generator L, but we may work with cochains which are invariant under the reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{r} :=$

$\mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$. Letting L^*, P^μ and Q^α be a basis for I^*, the differential in the complex $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(I, \mathfrak{k})^\mathfrak{r}$ is determined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta + \epsilon^\mu{}_\nu L^* \wedge P^\nu \\ dQ^\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2} L^* \wedge (\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)^\alpha{}_\beta Q^\beta \\ dL^* &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= L^* \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\tfrac{1}{2} L^* \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\ dL &= -P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu - \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The 0-cochains $C^0 = \mathfrak{k}^\mathfrak{r}$ are spanned by L, but $dL \neq 0$, hence $H^0(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ and $\dim B^1 = 1$. The space of 1-cochains is 5-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu$, $L^* \otimes L$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha$. Another way to understand this is to notice that the ideal I is graded by the action of $2L$ with L having degree 0, Q_α having pieces of degrees ± 1 and P_μ having pieces of degrees ± 2, corresponding to a Witt basis for W. The 5-dimensional space of cochains can be thought of as spanned by the cochains corresponding to the identity maps of each of the five graded subspaces. The space of 2-cochains is 7-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu} L$, $L^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $L^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu$, $L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, $L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $\varphi := 2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. Similarly, computing $d : C^2 \to C^3$ we find that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $L^* \wedge \varphi$. This infinitesimal deformation integrates to a one-parameter family of Lie superalgebras with brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [L, Q_\alpha] &= t Q_\alpha - \tfrac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [L, P_\mu] &= 2t P_\mu + \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

in addition to those involving $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, which remain undeformed. This deformation consists of changing the L-weight of the generators in the Lie superalgebra in such a way that the QQ bracket remains invariant. This deformation is familiar from the twisting construction of two-dimensional topological conformal field theories.

3.4. **A deformation of the fundamental string superalgebra.**

The Killing superalgebra of the type IIB fundamental string is the subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ of the IIB Poincaré superalgebra where $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, corresponding to a decomposition $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ where W is lorentzian and two-dimensional, corresponding to the string worldsheet. The odd subspace $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{F1}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+$ given by

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{F1}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+ \middle| \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \ , \tag{26}$$

where the Clifford endomorphism $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ corresponding to the volume form of the string worldsheet is skewsymmetric relative to the spinor inner product and obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively, and let P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} L$ and L_{ab} be the generators of $\mathfrak{k}_0$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}$ be basis elements for the subspaces of Δ_+ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha =$

$-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}$, respectively.[1] Then $\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha\\ 0\end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}\end{pmatrix}$ span Δ_{F1}. We let Q_α and $\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$ denote the corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}_1$. The nonzero Lie brackets in this basis are given, in addition to those of $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, by

$$\begin{aligned}
[L, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q_\alpha\\
[L, \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}] &= -\tfrac{1}{2} \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\\
[L, P_\mu] &= \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu\\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu\\
[\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\bar\beta}] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} := \langle \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}, \Gamma^\mu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \rangle\ . \tag{28}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ contains the supertranslation ideal and the generator L, but $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k})$ can be computed from the complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{r}}$ of cochains which are invariant under the reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{r} := \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$. Letting L^*, P^μ, Q^α and $\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}$ denote the canonical dual basis for I^*, the differential d in $C^\bullet$ is determined uniquely by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} + \epsilon^\mu{}_\nu L^* \wedge P^\nu\\
dQ^\alpha &= -\tfrac{1}{2} L^* \wedge Q^\alpha\\
d\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} &= \tfrac{1}{2} L^* \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\\
dL^* &= 0\\
dP_\mu &= L^* \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu\\
dQ_\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2} L^* \otimes Q_\alpha - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu\\
d\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} &= -\tfrac{1}{2} L^* \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} - \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes P_\mu\\
dL &= -P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu + \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha - \tfrac{1}{2} \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\\
dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2} \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The space of 0-cochains is 1-dimensional and spanned by L, but since $dL \neq 0$, $H^0(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ and $\dim B^1 = 1$. The space C^1 is 5-dimensional and is spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu$, $L^* \otimes L$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$. As in the case of the D-string, this can be understood by the fact that the ideal I is graded by the action of $2L$ with L having degree 0, Q_α and $\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$ having degrees ± 1, respectively, and P_μ having pieces of degrees ± 2, corresponding to a Witt basis for W. The 5-dimensional space of cochains can be thought of as spanned by the cochains corresponding to the identity maps of each of the five graded subspaces. The space C^2 of 2-cochains is 7-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu} L$, $L^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $L^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu$, $L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, $L^* \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$ and $\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $\varphi := 2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha - \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$. Similarly, computing $d : C^2 \to C^3$ we find that

---

[1] Here and in the sequel we use the bars on the spinors to distinguish them from the unbarred spinors and *not* to denote the Dirac conjugate.

$H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $L^* \wedge \varphi$. This infinitesimal deformation integrates to a one-parameter family of Lie superalgebras with brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
[L, Q_\alpha] &= (t + \tfrac{1}{2})Q_\alpha \\
[L, \bar{Q}_{\bar{\alpha}}] &= (t - \tfrac{1}{2})\bar{Q}_{\bar{\alpha}} \\
[L, P_\mu] &= 2tP_\mu + \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \\
[\bar{Q}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\bar{\beta}}] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} P_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

in addition to those involving $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ which remain undeformed. This deformation consists of changing the L-weight of the generators in the Lie superalgebra in such a way that the QQ and $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ brackets remains invariant. As in the case of the D-string, this deformation is reminiscent of the construction of two-dimensional topological conformal field theories via twisting.

3.5. **Rigidity of the D3-brane superalgebra.** The Killing superalgebra of the D3-brane background is the subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of the type IIB Poincaré superalgebra with $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ where $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, with W a four-dimensional lorentzian subspace. The odd subspace $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{D3}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+$ defined by the graph of the endomorphism $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_+$ corresponding to the volume form of W. This endomorphism obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = -\mathbf{1}$ and, when extended to the irreducible Clifford module, is symmetric with respect to the spinor inner product.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ span Δ_+. Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha\end{pmatrix}$ be a basis for Δ_{D3}. The corresponding basis of $\mathfrak{k}$ is given by P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$, L_{ab} and Q_α. The Lie brackets are inherited from those in equation (14) and are given explicitly, in addition to those involving $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}, by

$$[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] = \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ , \tag{31}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ . \tag{32}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ and Q_α and the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s}$ by $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}. Letting P^μ and Q^α denote the canonical dual basis for I^*, the differential d on the complex $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is determined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\
dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\
dP_\mu &= 0 \\
dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\
dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\
dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

There are no nonzero 0-cochains, since $C^0 = \mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ and there are no scalars in the superalgebra. There is a 3-dimensional space of 1-cochains, spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha$. The first cochain is the identity map $W \to W$, whereas the other two are linear combinations involving the identity maps of the two irreducible complex representations of $\mathfrak{s}$ into which the complexification of Δ_{D3} decomposes. In terms of real maps, we have the identity and the complex structure $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$. The space of 2-cochains is also 3-dimensional, spanned by the cochains corresponding to the natural isomorphism $\Lambda^2 W \to \mathfrak{so}(W)$ and to its precomposition with the Hodge star $\star : \Lambda^2 W \to \Lambda^2 W$, as well as to the projection $S^2\Delta_{\mathrm{D3}} \to W$. The corresponding cochains are $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} L_{\rho\sigma}$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$.

Computing the differentials $d : C^1 \to C^2$ and $d : C^2 \to C^3$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ with representative cocycles $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha$. The fact that this latter cochain is a cocycle rests on the skewsymmetry of $(\Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta}$ in $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$. Similarly, we find that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$, whence the D3-brane superalgebra is rigid.

This rigidity might seem a little unexpected due to the fact that the four-dimensional Poincaré superalgebra admits a deformation [23, 24]. The calculation that the anti-de Sitter superalgebra is the unique deformation of the four-dimensional Poincaré superalgebra had been announced in [25], but the expression of the deformed algebra in that paper is incorrect. The calculation in [24] is correct, but we find that the way of writing the algebra is perhaps not the most transparent. For this reason we present this calculation in the following section.

3.5.1. *A deformation of the four-dimensional Poincaré superalgebra.* We choose to do the calculation using two-component spinor language, which simplifies many of the calculations. Our conventions are taken from [26, Appendix B]. The generators of the Poincaré superalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ are Q_α, $\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$, $P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$, $L_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{L}_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$, with Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_{\alpha\beta}, Q_\gamma] &= \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} Q_\alpha + \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} Q_\beta \\
[L_{\alpha\beta}, P_{\gamma\dot\alpha}] &= \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} P_{\alpha\dot\alpha} + \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} P_{\beta\dot\alpha} \\
[Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}] &= P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

together with the conjugate versions of the first two brackets, obtained from those by the replacements $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \mapsto \bar\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$, $L_{\alpha\beta} \mapsto \bar{L}_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$, $P_{\alpha\dot\beta} \mapsto P_{\beta\dot\alpha}$ and $Q_\alpha \mapsto \bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$.

Let I be the ideal spanned by $P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$, Q_α and $\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ the semisimple factor spanned by $L_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{L}_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$. We denote by $P^{\alpha\dot\alpha}$, Q^α and $\bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha}$ the canonical dual basis for I^*. The differential in the complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{p})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is determined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^{\alpha\dot\alpha} &= Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha} \\
dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\
dP_{\alpha\dot\alpha} &= 0 \\
dQ_\alpha &= -\bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha} \otimes P_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \\
dL_{\alpha\beta} &= -\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} P^{\gamma\dot\gamma} \otimes P_{\beta\dot\gamma} - \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} P^{\gamma\dot\gamma} \otimes P_{\alpha\dot\gamma} + \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} Q^\gamma \otimes Q_\beta + \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} Q^\gamma \otimes Q_\alpha\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

and their conjugates.

There are no 0-cochains since there are no Lorentz scalars in the algebra. The space of 1-cochains is three-dimensional, spanned by $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, $\bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$ and $P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \otimes P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$. The space of 2-cochains is 7-dimensional and spanned by $P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \wedge P^{\beta\dot\beta} \otimes \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{L}_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$, $P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \wedge P^{\beta\dot\beta} \otimes \bar\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} L_{\alpha\beta}$, $P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$, $P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \wedge \bar{Q}^{\dot\beta} \otimes \bar\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} Q_\alpha$, $Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha} \otimes P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes L_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha} \wedge \bar{Q}^{\dot\beta} \otimes \bar{L}_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$ we find a two-dimensional space of cocycles, spanned by $2P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \otimes P_{\alpha\dot\alpha} - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha - \bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha - \bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$. Strictly speaking the latter cocycle is not real, so we would have to multiply by i in order to make it real. It corresponds to the map on spinors induced by multiplication with the volume form; that is,

γ_5 in old money. Computing the differential $d : C^2 \to C^3$ we also find a two-dimensional space of cocycles, spanned by $2P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar Q_{\dot\alpha} + Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes L_{\alpha\beta}$ and its conjugate. Since we are interested in deformations of the real form of the Lie superalgebra, we choose the real part of the cocycle; that is,

$$2P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar Q_{\dot\alpha} + 2P^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \wedge \bar Q^{\dot\beta} \otimes \bar\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} Q_\alpha + Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes L_{\alpha\beta} + \bar Q^{\dot\alpha} \wedge \bar Q^{\dot\beta} \otimes \bar L_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} \ , \tag{36}$$

corresponding to the following Lie brackets to first order in the deformation parameter t:

$$\begin{aligned} [P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}, Q_\beta] &= -t\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar Q_{\dot\alpha} \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= -tL_{\alpha\beta} \\ [Q_\alpha, \bar Q_{\dot\beta}] &= P_{\alpha\dot\beta} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and their conjugates. There is an obstruction to integrating this deformation at the next order, which requires introducing the bracket

$$[P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}, P_{\beta\dot\beta}] = t^2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar L_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} + t^2\bar\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}L_{\alpha\beta} \ . \tag{38}$$

The above brackets, together with the ones involving the Lorentz generators, define a one-parameter family of deformations, first written down in [23], corresponding to the AdS_4 superalgebra. The algebraic reason why this deformation of the 4-dimensional Poincaré superalgebra does not lift to a deformation of the D3-brane superalgebra is that the ten-dimensional chirality of the IIB spinors forbids the necessary extra terms in the QQ bracket.

3.6. **Rigidity of the D5-brane superalgebra.** The Killing superalgebra of the type IIB D5-brane is the subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ of the type IIB Poincaré superalgebra with $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, where $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ and W a six-dimensional lorentzian subspace, and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{D5}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+$ defined as the graph of the volume form $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+$, which obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$ and is skewsymmetric relative to the spinor inner product when extended to a Clifford endomorphism.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ span Δ_+. Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha\end{pmatrix}$ be a basis for Δ_{D5}. The corresponding basis of $\mathfrak{k}$ is given by P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$, L_{ab} and Q_α. The Lie brackets are inherited from those in equation (14) and are given explicitly, in addition to those involving $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}, by

$$[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] = \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}P_\mu \ , \tag{39}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu\boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta\rangle = \langle\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta\rangle \ . \tag{40}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ and Q_α and the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s}$ by $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}. Letting P^μ and Q^α denote the canonical dual basis for I^*, the differential d on the complex $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is determined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\ dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= 0 \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\ dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho}P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho}P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2}Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab}\cdot Q_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

There are no nonzero 0-cochains, since $C^0 = \mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ and there are no scalars in the superalgebra. There is a 3-dimensional space of 1-cochains, spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha$. The space of 2-cochains is also 3-dimensional, spanned by the following cochains: $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$.

Computing the differentials $d : C^1 \to C^2$ and $d : C^2 \to C^3$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ with representative cocycle $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, whence $\dim B^2 = 2$. Since $d : C^2 \to C^3$ is not identically zero, we conclude that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$, whence the D5-brane superalgebra is rigid.

3.7. **Rigidity of the NS 5-brane superalgebra.** The Killing superalgebra of the type IIB NS5-brane is the subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ of the IIB Poincaré superalgebra where $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, corresponding to a decomposition $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ where W is lorentzian and six-dimensional, corresponding to the brane worldvolume. The odd subspace $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{NS5}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+$ given by

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{NS5}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+ \middle| \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} , \tag{42}$$

where the Clifford endomorphism $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ corresponding to the volume form of the brane worldvolume is skewsymmetric relative to the spinor inner product and obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively, and let P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab} be the generators of $\mathfrak{k}_0$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}$ be basis elements for the subspaces of Δ_+ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha = -\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}$, respectively, so that $\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ span Δ_{F1}. We let Q_α and $\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$ denote the corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}_1$. The nonzero Lie brackets in this basis are given, in addition to those of $\mathfrak{k}_0$, by

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\
[L_{\mu\nu}, \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha} \\
[L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \\
[L_{ab}, \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{ab} \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha} \\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \\
[\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}, \bar Q_{\bar\beta}] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where, as before,

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} := \left\langle \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}, \Gamma^\mu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \right\rangle \ . \tag{44}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ, Q_α and $\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$ and the differential in the complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ of cochains which are invariant under the semisimple subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus$

$\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ is determined uniquely by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \\ dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\ d\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= 0 \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\ d\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes P_\mu \\ dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho}P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho}P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2}Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab}\cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_{ab}\cdot \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

There are no 0-cochains. The space C^1 is 3-dimensional and is spanned by the cochains corresponding to the identity maps $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$. The space C^2 of 2-cochains is 3-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}P_\mu$ and $\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}P_\mu$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $\varphi := 2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha - \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$. Since $d : C^2 \to C^3$ is not the zero map, we conclude that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$, proving the rigidity of the IIB NS5 superalgebra.

3.8. **A deformation of the D7-brane superalgebra.**

The Killing superalgebra of the D7-brane is the subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of the IIB Poincaré superalgebra with $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, where $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ is the decomposition of the 10-dimensional lorentzian vector space into an 8-dimensional lorentzian subspace W, corresponding to the brane worldvolume and its 2-dimensional perpendicular complement. The odd subspace $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the graph $\Delta_{\mathrm{D7}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_+$ of the endomorphism $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_+$ corresponding to the volume form of W. As in the D3-brane, the extension of $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ to the Clifford module is symmetric relative to the spinor inner product and obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = -\mathbf{1}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ span Δ_+. Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha\end{pmatrix}$ be a basis for Δ_{D7}. The corresponding basis of $\mathfrak{k}$ is given by P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$, $L_{ab} = \epsilon_{ab}L$ and Q_α. The Lie brackets are inherited from those in equation (14) and are given explicitly, in addition to those involving the Lorentz subalgebra of the brane worldvolume, by

$$\begin{aligned} [L, Q_\alpha] &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}P_\mu\ , \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta\rangle\ . \tag{47}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ includes the generator L, but we may work with cochains which are invariant under the reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{r} := \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$. Letting L^*, P^μ and Q^α be a basis for I^*, the differential in the complex $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(I, \mathfrak{k})^\mathfrak{r}$ is determined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^\mu &= \tfrac12 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta\\
dQ^\alpha &= \tfrac12 L^* \wedge (\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)^\alpha{}_\beta Q^\beta\\
dL^* &= 0\\
dP_\mu &= 0\\
dQ_\alpha &= -\tfrac12 L^* \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu\\
dL &= -\tfrac12 Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha\\
dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac12 Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The 0-cochains $C^0 = \mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{r}}$ are spanned by L, but $dL \neq 0$, hence $H^0(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ and $\dim B^1 = 1$. The space of 1-cochains is 4-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $L^* \otimes L$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha$. The space of 2-cochains is 5-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $L^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, $L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $\varphi := 2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. Similarly, computing $d : C^2 \to C^3$ we find that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $L^* \wedge \varphi$. This infinitesimal deformation integrates to a one-parameter family of Lie superalgebras with brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
[L, Q_\alpha] &= t Q_\alpha - \tfrac12 \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \cdot Q_\alpha\\
[L, P_\mu] &= 2t P_\mu\\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

in addition to those involving $\mathfrak{so}(W)$, which remain undeformed.

4. Type IIA backgrounds

In this section we explore the Lie superalgebra deformations of the Killing superalgebras of certain type IIA backgrounds. We start with the Minkowski vacuum and then go on to the elementary brane backgrounds.

4.1. **Rigidity of the Poincaré superalgebra.** The Killing superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of the unique maximally supersymmetric solution of type IIA supergravity is the IIA Poincaré superalgebra, which extends the ten-dimensional Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{so}(V) \oplus V$ by supercharges transforming in the spinorial representation $\Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$ of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. We will let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ denote an orthonormal basis for V and P_μ and $L_{\mu\nu}$ the corresponding basis for the Poincaré algebra. We will let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}$ be a basis for $\Delta_\pm$, respectively, and Q_α and $\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$ the corresponding basis for the odd subspace of the Poincaré superalgebra. In this basis, the nonzero Lie brackets are, in addition to those of the Poincaré algebra, the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha\\
[L_{\mu\nu}, \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}\\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu\\
[\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}, \bar Q_{\bar\beta}] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where, as usual,

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} := \left\langle \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}, \Gamma^\mu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \right\rangle \ . \tag{51}$$

The supertranslation ideal is spanned by P_μ, Q_α and $\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$ and the semisimple algebra is the Lorentz subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$. The complex computing the deformations is $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ and its differential is determined uniquely by its action on the above basis for $\mathfrak{k}$ and the canonical dual basis P^μ, Q^α and $\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}$ for I^*:

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\\
dQ^\alpha &= 0\\
d\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} &= 0\\
dP_\mu &= 0\\
dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu\\
d\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\otimes P_\mu\\
dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho}P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho}P^\rho\otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

There are no 0-cochains and the space of 1-cochains is 3-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$, corresponding to the identity maps $V \to V$ and $\Delta_\pm \to \Delta_\pm$. The space C^2 of 2-cochains is 6-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $P^\mu\wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu\cdot Q_\alpha$, $P^\mu \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\Gamma_\mu\cdot\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}P_\mu$, $\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\otimes\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}P_\mu$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\otimes\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta}L_{\mu\nu}$. These cochains correspond to the isomorphism $\Lambda^2 V \to \mathfrak{so}(V)$, Clifford multiplication $V \otimes \Delta_\pm \to \Delta_\mp$ and the projections $S^2\Delta_\pm \to V$ and $\Delta_+ \otimes \Delta_- \to \Lambda^2 V$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
d\left(P^\mu \otimes P_\mu\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}Q^\alpha\wedge Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\otimes P_\mu\\
d\left(Q^\alpha\otimes Q_\alpha\right) &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta\otimes P_\mu\\
d\left(\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\right) &= \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\otimes P_\mu\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

so that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha - \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}$. This implies that $\dim B^2 = 2$, spanned by $d(Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha)$ and $d(\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha})$, say.

Computing the differential $d : C^2 \to C^3$, we find, in addition to the coboundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\left(P^\mu\wedge P^\nu\otimes L_{\mu\nu}\right) &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}P^\nu\wedge Q^\alpha\wedge Q^\beta\otimes L_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}P^\nu\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\otimes L_{\mu\nu}\\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}P^\mu\wedge P^\nu\wedge Q^\alpha\otimes\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}P^\mu\wedge P^\nu\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\\
d\left(P^\mu\wedge Q^\alpha\otimes\Gamma_\mu\cdot Q_\alpha\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\beta\gamma}Q^\beta\wedge Q^\gamma\wedge Q^\alpha\otimes\Gamma_\mu\cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\beta\bar\gamma}\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\gamma}\wedge Q^\alpha\otimes\Gamma_\mu\cdot Q_\alpha\\
&\quad - \left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\bar\beta}{}_{\alpha}\Gamma^\nu_{\bar\beta\bar\gamma}P^\mu\wedge Q^\alpha\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\gamma}\otimes P_\nu\\
d\left(P^\mu\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\Gamma_\mu\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\beta\gamma}Q^\beta\wedge Q^\gamma\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\Gamma_\mu\cdot\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\beta\bar\gamma}\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\gamma}\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\Gamma_\mu\cdot\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}\\
&\quad - \left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\beta}{}_{\bar\alpha}\Gamma^\nu_{\beta\gamma}P^\mu\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha}\wedge Q^\gamma\otimes P_\nu\\
d\left(Q^\alpha\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\otimes\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta}L_{\mu\nu}\right) &= 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta}Q^\alpha\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\wedge P^\rho\otimes P_\nu + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta}Q^\alpha\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\wedge Q^\gamma\otimes\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\gamma\\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta}Q^\alpha\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\beta}\wedge\bar{Q}^{\bar\gamma}\otimes\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot\bar{Q}_{\bar\gamma}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

It is clear that any possible cocycle must be a linear combination of the last three cochains, since the differential of the first cochain is the only one having explicit dependence on $L_{\mu\nu}$.

Therefore let

$$\Theta = a_1 P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha + a_2 P^\mu \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} + a_3 Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes \Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta} L_{\mu\nu} \tag{55}$$

and consider the equation $d\Theta = 0$. The coefficient of the monomial $P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes P_\nu$ is given by

$$- a_1 \left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\bar\gamma}{}_\alpha \Gamma^\nu_{\bar\beta\bar\gamma} - a_2 \left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\gamma}{}_{\bar\beta} \Gamma^\nu_{\gamma\alpha} + 2a_3 \left(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu\right)_{\alpha\bar\beta} \ . \tag{56}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\bar\gamma}{}_\alpha \Gamma^\nu_{\bar\beta\bar\gamma} &= \langle \bar{\boldsymbol\varepsilon}_{\bar\beta}, \Gamma^\nu \Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol\varepsilon_\alpha \rangle \\ &= - \langle \boldsymbol\varepsilon_\alpha, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \bar{\boldsymbol\varepsilon}_{\bar\gamma} \rangle \\ &= - \left(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu\right)_{\alpha\bar\beta} - \delta^\nu_\mu \langle \boldsymbol\varepsilon_\alpha, \bar{\boldsymbol\varepsilon}_{\bar\gamma} \rangle \ , \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and similarly

$$\left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\gamma}{}_{\bar\beta} \Gamma^\nu_{\gamma\alpha} = - \left(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu\right)_{\alpha\bar\beta} + \delta^\nu_\mu \langle \boldsymbol\varepsilon_\alpha, \bar{\boldsymbol\varepsilon}_{\bar\gamma} \rangle \ , \tag{58}$$

whence the expression in equation (56) becomes

$$\left(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3\right) \left(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu\right)_{\alpha\bar\beta} + (a_1 - a_2) \delta^\nu_\mu \langle \boldsymbol\varepsilon_\alpha, \bar{\boldsymbol\varepsilon}_{\bar\gamma} \rangle \ , \tag{59}$$

which vanishes if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = -a_3$. In other words, the only possible (nontrivial) cocycle must be proportional to

$$\Theta' = P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha + P^\mu \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} - Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes \Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta} L_{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{60}$$

whose differential $d\Theta'$ has terms of four types: $QQQ \otimes \bar{Q}$, $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \otimes Q$, $Q\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \otimes \bar{Q}$ and $QQ\bar{Q} \otimes Q$. As we now show, the first two terms vanish. It will suffice to see this for the first term, which has the form

$$\tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge Q^\gamma \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\gamma} \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha \ . \tag{61}$$

By the usual polarisation identity, this will vanish if and only if for all $\varepsilon \in \Delta_+$,

$$\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu \varepsilon \rangle \Gamma_\mu \varepsilon = 0 \ , \tag{62}$$

which says that Clifford multiplication by the Dirac current of a chiral spinor, which is null in ten dimensions, annihilates the spinor. This is known to be true, as proved, for instance in [11, Appendix A]. The second term vanishes for precisely the same reasons, except that $\varepsilon \in \Delta_-$ now. It remains to investigate the $Q\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \otimes \bar{Q}$ and $QQ\bar{Q} \otimes Q$ terms. It will suffice to analyse the former term, say, which is given by

$$\tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\gamma} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} \left(\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\beta\bar\gamma} \left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\bar\alpha}{}_\alpha - \Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta} \left(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\right)^{\bar\alpha}{}_{\bar\gamma} \right) \ . \tag{63}$$

Again, using a polarisation identity, this term will vanish if and only if the following identity holds

$$\langle \bar\varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu \bar\varepsilon \rangle \Gamma_\mu \varepsilon - \langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \bar\varepsilon \rangle \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \bar\varepsilon \overset{?}{=} 0 \qquad \forall \varepsilon \in \Delta_+, \ \bar\varepsilon \in \Delta_- \ . \tag{64}$$

It is not hard to check that this is not true in general, thus proving the rigidity of the IIA Poincaré superalgebra.

The rigidity of the IIA Poincaré superalgebra might come as a surprise due to the existence of massive supergravities [27, 28] which deform IIA supergravity by a mass parameter. These deformations are such that as the mass parameter tends to zero one recovers IIA supergravity, whence any background of such a massive supergravity tends to a IIA supergravity background in that limit and hence we would expect to find the massive supergravity background among the deformations of the IIA background, with a similar situation reflecting itself in their superalgebras. The fact that the IIA Poincaré superalgebra is rigid is consistent with the non-existence of maximally supersymmetric backgrounds for massive supergravities, which is demonstrated in [14] for the Romans theory. We are not aware of a similar result for the massive IIA theory of [27], but our rigidity results imply that none exists which tends in the massless limit to Minkowski spacetime.

4.2. **Rigidity of the D0-brane superalgebra.** The Killing superalgebra of the D0-brane background is the subsuperalgebra of the IIA Poincaré superalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$, with $\mathfrak{k}_0 = W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, with W the lorentzian line spanned by $\boldsymbol{e}_0$, and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{D0}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$ defined as the graph of the linear map $\Gamma^0 : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_-$. We let $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span $W^\perp$. The corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}_0$ are P and L_{ab}. We let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ denote a basis for Δ_+ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \Gamma^0\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha\end{pmatrix}$ be a basis for Δ_{D0}. We will let Q_α denote the corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}_1$. The nonzero Lie brackets are those of $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{ab}\cdot Q_\alpha \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^0_{\alpha\beta} P \ , \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where

$$\Gamma^0_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta \rangle = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \right\rangle \ . \tag{66}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P and Q_α, whereas the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ is spanned by the L_{ab}. Letting P^* and Q^α denote the canonical dual basis for I^*, the differential in the deformation complex $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is determined uniquely by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} dP^* &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^0_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\ dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\ dP &= 0 \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^0_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab}\cdot Q_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

The space of 0-cochains is spanned by P, whence $H^0(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. There is a two-dimensional space of 1-cochains, spanned by $P^* \otimes P$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and a two-dimensional space of 2-cochains, spanned by $P^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^0_{\alpha\beta} P$. Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $2P^* \otimes P - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. This means that $\dim B^2 = 1$ and since the differential $d : C^2 \to C^3$ is not identically zero, one concludes that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$, thus proving the rigidity of the D0-brane superalgebra.

4.3. **A deformation of the fundamental string superalgebra.** The Killing superalgebra of the IIA fundamental string solution is the subsuperalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ of the IIA Poincaré superalgebra associated to a decomposition of the ten-dimensional lorentzian vector space $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, where W is a two-dimensional lorentzian subspace corresponding to the string worldsheet. This means that $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{F1}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$ defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{F1}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_+ \\ \varepsilon_- \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_- \middle| \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon_\pm = \pm\varepsilon_\pm \right\} \ , \tag{68}$$

where the volume element $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$ and is skewsymmetric relative to the spinor inner product. Alternatively we may think of Δ_{F1} as the subspace of spinors having positive-chirality under $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$. We let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ be a basis for W and $W^\perp$, respectively, and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}$ span $\Delta_{\mathrm{F1}} \cap \Delta_\pm$, respectively. The corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}$ is then P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} L$, L_{ab}, Q_α and $\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$, with nonzero Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
[L, Q_\alpha] &= -\tfrac{1}{2} Q_\alpha \\
[L, \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}] &= \tfrac{1}{2} \bar Q_{\bar\alpha} \\
[L, P_\mu] &= \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \\
[\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}, \bar Q_{\bar\beta}] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

in addition to those of $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$, where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} := \left\langle \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}, \Gamma^\mu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \right\rangle . \tag{70}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by L, P_μ, Q_α and $\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$ and the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ by L_{ab}. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ spanned by L and L_{ab} is reductive and the deformation complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{r}}$ consists of $\mathfrak{r}$-invariant cochains. Letting L^*, P^μ, Q^α and $\bar Q^{\bar\alpha}$ denote the canonical dual basis for I^*, the differential in the deformation complex is defined by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\beta} + \epsilon^\mu{}_\nu L^* \wedge P^\nu \\
dQ^\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2} L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \\
d\bar Q^{\bar\alpha} &= -\tfrac{1}{2} L^* \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \\
dL^* &= 0 \\
dP_\mu &= L^* \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\
dQ_\alpha &= -\tfrac{1}{2} L^* \otimes Q_\alpha - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\
d\bar Q_{\bar\alpha} &= \tfrac{1}{2} L^* \otimes \bar Q_{\bar\alpha} - \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} \bar Q^{\bar\beta} \otimes P_\mu \\
dL &= -P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu - \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2} \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar Q_{\bar\alpha} \\
dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2} \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

The space of 0-cochains is 1-dimensional and spanned by L, but since $dL \neq 0$, $H^0(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ and $\dim B^1 = 1$. The space C^1 is 5-dimensional and is spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu$, $L^* \otimes L$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $\bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$. As in the case of the IIB D- and fundamental strings, this can be understood by the fact that the ideal I is graded by the action of $2L$ with L having degree 0, Q_α and $\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$ having degrees ∓ 1, respectively, and P_μ having pieces of degrees ± 2, corresponding to a Witt basis for W. The 5-dimensional space of cochains can be thought of as spanned by the cochains corresponding to the identity maps of each of the five graded subspaces. The space C^2 of 2-cochains is 11-dimensional, spanned by $L^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $L^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu$, $L^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, $L^* \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$, $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu} L$, $P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha$, $P^\mu \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$, $\bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\beta} \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\beta} \otimes (\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\bar\beta} L$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\beta} \otimes \Gamma^{ab}_{\alpha\bar\beta} L_{ab}$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $\varphi := 2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha - \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$, whence $\dim B^2 = 3$, spanned by $d(Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha)$,

$d(\bar{Q}^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha})$ and $d(L^* \otimes L)$, say. Similarly, computing $d : C^2 \to C^3$ we find that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $L^* \wedge \varphi$. This infinitesimal deformation integrates to a one-parameter family of Lie superalgebras with brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
[L, Q_\alpha] &= (t - \tfrac{1}{2})Q_\alpha \\
[L, \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}] &= (t + \tfrac{1}{2})\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha} \\
[L, P_\mu] &= 2tP_\mu + \epsilon_\mu{}^\nu P_\nu \\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \\
[\bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\bar\beta}] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

in addition to those involving $\mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ which remain undeformed. This deformation consists of changing the L-weight of the generators in the Lie superalgebra in such a way that the QQ and $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ brackets remains invariant, just as in the IIB fundamental string. As in the cases of the IIB D- and fundamental strings, this deformation is reminiscent of the construction of two-dimensional topological conformal field theories via twisting.

The fundamental string solution arises as the Kaluza–Klein reduction of the M2 brane along a translational symmetry of the brane worldvolume. In view of this, one might expect that the deformation of the M2 superalgebra found in [1] might induce a deformation of the fundamental string superalgebra, yet the deformation found above in (72) is not the reduction of the one for the M2 brane superalgebra. If we take the geometric origin of the deformed M2 superalgebra at face value, the worldvolume of the putative deformed M2 brane is now AdS$_3$ and it follows from the results of [29] that no quotient (regular or singular) of AdS$_3$ preserves all the supersymmetries, whence the superalgebra of the Kaluza–Klein reduction of such a deformed M2-brane would be of strictly smaller dimension than that of the fundamental string superalgebra and hence would not appear among its deformations.

4.4. **A deformation of the D2-brane superalgebra.** The D2-brane Killing superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is the subsuperalgebra of the IIA Poincaré superalgebra corresponding to the split $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, with W a 3-dimensional lorentzian subspace. The even subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and the odd subspace $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{D2}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$ defined as the graph of $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_-$. The linear map $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ is symmetric relative to the spinor inner product and obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$. Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ span Δ_+ so that $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha\end{pmatrix}$ span Δ_{D2}. The corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}$ is P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$, L_{ab} and Q_α, with nonzero Lie brackets given, in addition to those of $\mathfrak{k}_0$, by

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\
[L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta\rangle \ . \tag{74}$$

We observe that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta\rangle =: (\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} \tag{75}$$

and, similarly,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta\rangle =: (\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} \ , \tag{76}$$

whereas

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^a \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta\rangle = 0 = \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^{\mu a} \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta\rangle\ . \tag{77}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ and Q_α, whereas the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ is spanned by $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}. The canonical dual basis for I^* is P^μ and Q^α, relative to which the differential in the deformation complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is defined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^\mu &= \tfrac12 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta\\
dQ^\alpha &= 0\\
dP_\mu &= 0\\
dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu\\
dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac12 Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha\\
dL_{ab} &= \tfrac12 Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab}\cdot Q_\alpha\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

There are no 0-cochains since $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$. The space of 1-cochains is spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $P^\rho \otimes \epsilon_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}$, corresponding to the identity maps $W \to W$, $\Delta_{\mathrm{D2}} \to \Delta_{\mathrm{D2}}$ and the composition $W \to \Lambda^2 W \cong \mathfrak{so}(W)$ where the first map is induced by the Hodge star. The space of 2-cochains is 6-dimensional and is spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P_\rho$, $P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{\mu\nu}$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \left(\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab}$. Notice that the cochain $P^\rho \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \epsilon_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha$ is already contained in the above span, since

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma^\rho \cdot Q_\alpha = -\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tfrac12 \epsilon_{\rho\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha = \Gamma_\rho \cdot Q_\alpha\ . \tag{79}$$

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$ we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. This means that $\dim B^2 = 2$, spanned by $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
d\left(P^\rho \otimes \epsilon_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}\right) = -\tfrac12 \left(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes L_{\mu\nu}&\\
- 2\epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes P_\rho - P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha&\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Computing the differential $d : C^2 \to C^3$ we find, in addition to the coboundaries,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\left(P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}\right) &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu} + \tfrac12 P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\alpha\\
d\left(P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P_\rho\right) &= -\left(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\nu\\
d\left(P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha\right) &= \tfrac12 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge Q^\gamma \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\gamma\\
&\quad + \left(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\nu\\
d\Big(Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \left(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} L_{\mu\nu}\Big) &= 2\left(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\nu\\
&\quad + \tfrac12 \left(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge Q^\gamma \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\cdot Q_\gamma\\
d\Big(Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab}\Big) &= \tfrac12 (\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge Q^\gamma \otimes \Gamma_{ab}\cdot Q_\gamma\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

The first term is the only one depending on $L_{\mu\nu}$, whence any cocycle must be a linear combination of the other cochains

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta = a_1 P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P_\rho + a_2 P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha&\\
+ a_3 Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \left(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} L_{\mu\nu} + a_4 Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab}&\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Computing its differential, we find two types of terms: a $PQQ \otimes P$ term proportional to

$$(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta}\, Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\nu \,(-a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3) \ , \tag{83}$$

and a $QQQ \otimes Q$ term proportional to

$$Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge Q^\gamma \otimes Q_\delta \left(a_2 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)^\delta{}_\gamma + a_3 \left(\Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\right)^\delta{}_\gamma + a_4 (\Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Gamma_{ab}\right)^\delta{}_\gamma \right) \ . \tag{84}$$

The vanishing of the first of the above terms forces $a_1 = a_2 + 2a_3$, whereas the vanishing of the second term is equivalent to

$$a_2 \left\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu \varepsilon \right\rangle \Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon + a_3 \left\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \right\rangle \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon + a_4 \langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \rangle \Gamma_{ab} \varepsilon = 0 \tag{85}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \Delta_+$. Using equation (137), we can rewrite this as

$$(a_2 - 8a_4) \left\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu \varepsilon \right\rangle \Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon + (a_3 - a_4) \left\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \right\rangle \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon = 0 \ , \tag{86}$$

whereas using (79) we can finally rewrite this as

$$(a_2 - 2a_3 - 6a_4) \left\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu \varepsilon \right\rangle \Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon = 0 \ , \tag{87}$$

which vanishes if and only if $a_2 = 2a_3 + 6a_4$. Therefore we see that there is a two-dimensional space of such cocycles, labelled by a_3 and a_4. The line $a_4 = 0$ is spanned by the coboundary in equation (80), whence we conclude that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle

$$6P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P_\rho + 6P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha + Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab} \ , \tag{88}$$

which spans the line $a_3 = 0$. This infinitesimal deformation integrates to a one-parameter family of Lie superalgebras which, in addition to the undeformed brackets involving $\mathfrak{s}$, has the following nonzero brackets:

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_\mu, P_\nu] &= 12t \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P_\rho \\
[P_\mu, Q_\alpha] &= -6t \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha \\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu - 2t (\Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

It is not hard to show that the Jacobi identity is satisfied: only the $O(t^2)$ terms need to be checked since, by construction, the others are satisfied. The Jacobi identity breaks up into several types of term: PPP, PPQ, PQQ and QQQ, the first three of which vanish trivially and the last vanishes by virtue of the Fierz identity (137). For $t \neq 0$, we may rescale the generators P and Q in order to bring the above Lie superalgebra to the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_\mu, P_\nu] &= -2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P_\rho \\
[P_\mu, Q_\alpha] &= \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha \\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \pm \left(\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{3} (\Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab} \right) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

As in the case of the M2-brane superalgebra deformation in [1], the choice of sign corresponds to a duality relating two real forms of the same complex superalgebra, corresponding to multiplying the odd generators by i. The even subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_0 \cong \mathfrak{so}(2,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(7)$ with $\mathfrak{k}_1$ transforming as $\Delta_+^{(2,2)} \otimes \Delta^{(7)}$, with $\Delta_+^{(2,2)}$ the real 2-dimensional positive-chirality spinor representation of $\mathfrak{so}(2,2)$ and $\Delta^{(7)}$ the real 8-dimensional spinor representation of $\mathfrak{so}(7)$. Let us change basis from $L_{\mu\nu}$ to $L'_{\mu\nu} := L_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P_\rho$. We notice that $L'_{\mu\nu}$ commute with the supercharges and hence with P_μ and that they span an $\mathfrak{so}(2,1)$ subalgebra. The remaining generators P_μ, L_{ab} and Q_α span a simple subsuperalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$ isomorphic to the exceptional

Lie *super*algebra $\mathfrak{f}(4)$ [22]. Therefore, as an abstract Lie superalgebra, the deformed Lie superalgebra in (90) is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(2,1) \oplus \mathfrak{f}(4)$.

4.4.1. *Deformations of the delocalised M2-brane superalgebra.* The D2-brane solution of type IIA supergravity arises via Kaluza–Klein reduction from an M2-brane which has been delocalised along one transverse direction. In this section we will show that the deformation of the D2-brane superalgebra (90) found above has its origin in a deformation of the delocalised M2-brane superalgebra. As Kaluza–Klein reduction *is* a geometric procedure, this results lends support to the hypothesis that these deformations have a geometric origin.

Let V be here an eleven-dimensional lorentzian vector space and we decompose it as $V = W \oplus U \oplus \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{e}_\natural$, where W is a three-dimensional lorentzian subspace, corresponding to the membrane worldvolume, $W^\perp = U \oplus \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{e}_\natural$ is the transverse space, where $\boldsymbol{e}_\natural$ denotes the delocalised eleventh direction. Delocalisation means that the metric and four-form do not depend on the eleventh coordinate. The symmetric delocalised M2-brane has superalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$, where $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(U) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, with the $\mathbb{R}$ subalgebra corresponds to translations along the eleventh direction, and where $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{dM2}} \subset \Delta$ of the spinor representation consisting of spinors $\varepsilon \in \Delta$ obeying $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon = \varepsilon$, where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ is the volume element associated to W. It is convenient, in order to compare with the IIA results, to break up $\Delta = \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$ into eigenspaces of $\Gamma_\natural$, which is in fact the same split as the one induced by chirality in ten dimensions. The subspace Δ_{dM2} defined above is then the graph of $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_-$, so that if $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ is a basis for Δ_+, then $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ is a basis for Δ_{dM2}. Let P_μ, $P = P_\natural$, $L_{\mu\nu}$, L_{ab} and Q_α denote a basis for the $\mathfrak{k}$, whose nonzero Lie brackets are given, in addition to those of $\mathfrak{k}_0$, by

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ . \tag{92}$$

We observe that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle =: (\Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} \tag{93}$$

and, similarly,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle =: (\Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} \ . \tag{94}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P, P_μ and Q_α, whereas the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(U)$ is spanned by $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}. The canonical dual basis for I^* is P^*, P^μ and Q^α, relative to which the differential in the deformation complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is defined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} dP^* &= 0 \\ dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\ dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\ dP &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= 0 \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\ dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

The space of 0-cochains is spanned by P, which is a cocycle, whence $H^0(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. The space of 1-cochains is 4-dimensional, spanned by $P^* \otimes P$, $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $P^\rho \otimes \epsilon_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}$. The space of 2-cochains is 9-dimensional, spanned by $P^* \wedge P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $P^* \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, $P^* \wedge P^\rho \otimes \epsilon_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}$, $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes \epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho P_\rho$, $P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{\mu\nu}$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \left(\Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab}$. Notice that the cochain $P^\rho \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \epsilon_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha$ is already contained in the above span by equation (79).

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we see that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, with representative cocycles $P^* \otimes P$ and $\varphi := 2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. This means that $\dim B^2 = 2$, spanned by $d(Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha)$, say, and $d(P^\rho \otimes \epsilon_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu})$ which is given formally by the expression in (80), suitably reinterpreted for the present situation. Computing the differential $d : C^2 \to C^3$ we find that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, with representative cocycles $P^* \wedge \varphi$ and the one formally given by the expression (88), again suitable reinterpreted. Only two lines in $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k})$ give rise to integrable deformations: the ones spanned by the two cocycles listed above. The first one gives rise to the one-parameter family of Lie algebras where P is no longer central but instead is a grading element with brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [P, Q_\alpha] &= t Q_\alpha \\ [P, P_\mu] &= 2t P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

which for $t \neq 0$ can always be rescaled to set $t = 1$. The second one is more interesting, since it is the one which reduces to the deformation (90) found above for the D2-brane superalgebra. The cocycle is formally identical and so are the brackets, which can be read off from (90).

4.5. **Rigidity of the D4-brane superalgebra.** The D4-brane Killing superalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ is the subsuperalgebra of the IIA Poincaré superalgebra corresponding to the split $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, with W five-dimensional lorentzian. This means that $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{D4}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$ defined as the graph of the linear map $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_-$ defined by the Clifford action of the volume element of W, which is skewsymmetric under the spinor inner product and obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = -\mathbf{1}$.

We let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively and let P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab} denote the corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}_0$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ span Δ_+ and let $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ be a basis for Δ_{D4}. We will let Q_α denote the corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}_1$. The nonzero Lie brackets are those of

$\mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and in addition the following:

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \\ [L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \ , \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

where, as usual,

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ . \tag{98}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ and Q_α and the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s}$ by $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}. Let P^μ and Q^α be the canonical dual basis for I^*. Relative to these, the differential in the deformation complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is defined uniquely by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} dP^\mu &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\ dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= 0 \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\ dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

There are no 0-cochains, whereas the space of 1-cochains is 2-dimensional spanned by the cochains corresponding to the identity maps $W \to W$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{D4}} \to \Delta_{\mathrm{D4}}$, namely $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. The space of 2-cochains is now 3-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$. Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$ we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $2P^* \otimes P - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$. This means that $\dim B^2 = 1$, spanned by $d(Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha)$, say. The only possible 2-cocycle is a linear combination

$$\Theta = a_1 P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu} + a_2 P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha \ . \tag{100}$$

The first term in the right-hand side is the only one whose differential contains $L_{\mu\nu}$, whence $d\Theta = 0$ forces $a_1 = 0$. Computing the differential of the second term, we find

$$d\left(P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha\right) = \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge Q^\gamma \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\gamma - P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\gamma \otimes (\Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)^\beta{}_\alpha \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\gamma} P_\nu \ . \tag{101}$$

The first term vanishes because of identity (62) and the fact that $\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^a \varepsilon \rangle = 0$, but the vanishing of the second term requires

$$(\Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)^\beta{}_\alpha \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\gamma} + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma) \overset{?}{=} 0 \ , \tag{102}$$

which by the usual polarisation identity, is equivalent to

$$\langle \Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon, \Gamma^\nu \varepsilon \rangle \overset{?}{=} 0 \qquad \forall \varepsilon \in \Delta_+ \ , \tag{103}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \rangle \overset{?}{=} 0 \qquad \forall \varepsilon \in \Delta_+ \ . \tag{104}$$

Using the Clifford algebra and the fact that $\langle \varepsilon, \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \rangle = 0$ for all $\varepsilon \in \Delta_+$, we are left with

$$\langle \varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \rangle \overset{?}{=} 0 \qquad \forall \varepsilon \in \Delta_+ \ , \tag{105}$$

which is patently false, thus proving the rigidity of the D4-brane superalgebra.

4.6. **Rigidity of the NS 5-brane superalgebra.** The IIA NS5-brane Killing superalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ is the subsuperalgebra of the IIA Poincaré superalgebra corresponding to a decomposition $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, where W is a six-dimensional lorentzian subspace corresponding to the brane worldvolume. In other words, $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{NS5}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$ defined as the $+1$ eigenspace of the Clifford action of the volume element $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ of W, which obeys $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$ and is skewsymmetric relative to the spinor (symplectic) inner product.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively and let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}$ denote bases for $\Delta_{\mathrm{NS5}} \cap \Delta_\pm$, respectively. The corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}$ is P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$, L_{ab}, Q_α and $\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$, and the Lie brackets are, in addition to those of $\mathfrak{k}_0$, the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha\\
[L_{\mu\nu}, \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}\\
[L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha\\
[L_{ab}, \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}] &= \tfrac12 \Gamma_{ab} \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}\\
[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu\\
[\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}, \bar Q_{\bar\beta}] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

where, as usual,

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} := \left\langle \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\alpha}, \Gamma^\mu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \right\rangle \ . \tag{107}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ, Q_α and $\bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$, whereas the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ is spanned by $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}. Letting P^μ, Q^α and $\bar Q^{\bar\alpha}$ be the canonical dual basis for I^*, the relations defining the differential in the deformation complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
dP^\mu &= \tfrac12 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta + \tfrac12 \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\beta}\\
dQ^\alpha &= 0\\
d\bar Q^{\bar\alpha} &= 0\\
dP_\mu &= 0\\
dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu\\
d\bar Q_{\bar\alpha} &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} \bar Q^{\bar\beta} \otimes P_\mu\\
dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac12 Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac12 \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}\\
dL_{ab} &= \tfrac12 Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha + \tfrac12 \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

There are no 0-cochains, whereas the space of 1-cochains is 3-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$ and $\bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$, corresponding to the identity maps $W \to W$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{NS5}} \cap \Delta_\pm \to \Delta_{\mathrm{NS5}} \cap \Delta_\pm$. The space of 2-cochains is 5-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha$, $P^\mu \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$ and $\bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \wedge \bar Q^{\bar\beta} \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} P_\mu$. Notice that $\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\bar\beta} = 0 = \Gamma^{ab}_{\alpha\bar\beta}$, whence there are no cochains of the form $Q\bar Q \otimes L$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we see that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha - \bar Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \bar Q_{\bar\alpha}$. This implies that $\dim B^2 = 2$, spanned by $d(Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha)$ and $d(\bar Q^\alpha \otimes \bar Q_{\bar\alpha})$, say. Therefore any cohomology in dimension 2 must be represented by a cocycle of the form

$$\Theta = a_1 P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu} + a_2 P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha + a_3 P^\mu \wedge Q^{\bar\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_{\bar\alpha} \ . \tag{109}$$

As usual, the cocycle condition implies $a_1 = 0$ because that term is the only one whose differential involves $L_{\mu\nu}$. The differential of the remaining terms have the form $PQ\bar{Q} \otimes P$, $QQQ \otimes \bar{Q}$, $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}Q \otimes \bar{Q}$, $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \otimes Q$ and $QQ\bar{Q} \otimes Q$. The $PQ\bar{Q} \otimes P$ terms are

$$\begin{aligned} &- P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes P_\nu \left(a_1 \left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\bar\gamma}{}_\alpha \Gamma^\nu_{\bar\gamma\bar\beta} + a_2 \left(\Gamma_\mu\right)^{\gamma}{}_{\bar\beta} \Gamma^\nu_{\gamma\alpha} \right) \\ &\qquad\qquad = P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \wedge \bar{Q}^{\bar\beta} \otimes P_\nu \left(a_1 \left(\Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu\right)_{\alpha\bar\beta} + a_2 \left(\Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu\right)_{\bar\beta\alpha} \right) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

which vanishes because

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu\right)_{\alpha\bar\beta} &= \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \rangle \\ &= \langle \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \rangle \\ &= -\langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \rangle \\ &= -\langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \rangle \\ &= -\langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\bar\beta} \rangle \\ &= -\left(\Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu\right)_{\alpha\bar\beta} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

whence $\left(\Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu\right)_{\alpha\bar\beta} = 0$ and, similarly, $\left(\Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu\right)_{\bar\beta\alpha} = 0$. The $QQQ \otimes \bar{Q}$ term also vanishes by polarising identity (62) and the fact that $\Gamma^a_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. By a similar argument we see that the $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \otimes Q$ term also vanishes. The remaining terms would vanish if and only if

$$\langle \varepsilon_\pm, \Gamma^\mu \varepsilon_\pm \rangle \, \Gamma_\mu \varepsilon_\mp \overset{?}{=} 0 \qquad \forall \varepsilon_\pm \in \Delta_{\mathrm{NS5}} \cap \Delta_\pm \ . \tag{112}$$

It is not hard to show that this is false, proving the rigidity of the NS5-brane superalgebra.

4.7. A deformation of the D6-brane superalgebra.

The D6-brane Killing superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is the subsuperalgebra of the IIA Poincaré superalgebra associated to a split $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, with W a 7-dimensional lorentzian subspace corresponding to the brane worldvolume. This means that $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus W \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\Delta_{\mathrm{D6}} \subset \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$ defined as the graph of the linear map $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_-$ corresponding to the volume element of W, which is symmetric relative to the spinor inner product and satisfies $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = +\mathbf{1}$. Let $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ span W and $W^\perp$, respectively and let P_μ, $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab} be the corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}_0$. If $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha$ is a basis for Δ_+, then $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ span Δ_{D6}. The corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is Q_α. The nonzero Lie brackets of $\mathfrak{k}$ are given, in addition to those of $\mathfrak{k}_0$, by

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [L_{ab}, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2} \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\psi}_\beta \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ . \tag{114}$$

The ideal $I < \mathfrak{k}$ is spanned by P_μ and Q_α, whereas the semisimple factor $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(W^\perp)$ is spanned by $L_{\mu\nu}$ and L_{ab}. The canonical dual basis for I^* is P^μ and Q^α, relative to which the differential in the deformation complex $C^\bullet := C^\bullet(I;\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is defined by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} dP^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \\ dQ^\alpha &= 0 \\ dP_\mu &= 0 \\ dQ_\alpha &= -\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} Q^\beta \otimes P_\mu \\ dL_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} P^\rho \otimes P_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q_\alpha \\ dL_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_{ab} \cdot Q_\alpha \ . \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

There are no 0-cochains since $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$. The space of 1-cochains is two-dimensional, spanned by $P^\mu \otimes P_\mu$ and $Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, corresponding to the identity maps $W \to W$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{D6}} \to \Delta_{\mathrm{D6}}$. The space of 2-cochains is 5-dimensional and is spanned by $P^\mu \wedge P^\nu \otimes L_{\mu\nu}$, $P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu$, $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{\mu\nu}$ and $Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes \left(\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab}$.

Computing the differential $d : C^1 \to C^2$, we find that $H^1(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, with representative cocycle $2P^\mu \otimes P_\mu - Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha$, which means that $\dim B^2 = 1$, spanned by $d(Q^\alpha \otimes Q_\alpha)$, say. Computing the differential $d : C^2 \to C^3$ and employing the usual arguments, we see that any nontrivial cocycle must be of the form

$$\Theta = a_1 P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha + a_2 Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{\mu\nu} + a_3 Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab} \ . \tag{116}$$

Computing its differential we find two types of terms which must vanish separately for Θ to be a cocycle. The first term takes the form

$$P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes P_\nu \left(-a_1 (\Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)^\gamma{}_\alpha \Gamma^\nu_{\gamma\beta} + 2a_2 (\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} \right) \ . \tag{117}$$

Using that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)^\gamma{}_\alpha \Gamma^\nu_{\gamma\beta} &= \langle \Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma^\nu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \\ &= -\langle \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \\ &= -\langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \\ &= -(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} - \delta^\nu_\mu \langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_\beta \rangle \ , \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

and that the second term is skewsymmetric in $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, the above term in $d\Theta$ becomes

$$(a_1 + 2a_2)(\Gamma_\mu{}^\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes P_\nu \ , \tag{119}$$

whence $d\Theta = 0$ forces $a_1 = -2a_2$. The second type of term in $d\Theta$ is given by

$$\tfrac{1}{2} Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \wedge Q^\gamma \otimes Q_\delta \left(a_1 \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)^\delta{}_\gamma + a_2 \left(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\right)_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\right)^\delta{}_\gamma + a_3 (\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} \left(\Gamma_{ab}\right)^\delta{}_\gamma \right) \ , \tag{120}$$

whose vanishing is equivalent, via a polarisation identity, to the vanishing of

$$a_1 \langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu \varepsilon \rangle \Gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon + a_2 \langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \rangle \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon + a_3 \langle \varepsilon, \Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \rangle \Gamma_{ab} \varepsilon = 0 \tag{121}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \Delta_+$. Using the Clifford identities

$$\Gamma^{ab} \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon = \epsilon^{abc} \Gamma_c \varepsilon \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tfrac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \Gamma_{bc} \varepsilon = \Gamma^a \boldsymbol{\nu}_W \varepsilon \tag{122}$$

for $\varepsilon \in \Delta_+$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\rangle\Gamma_{ab}\varepsilon &= 2\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^c\varepsilon\rangle\Gamma_c\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon \\ &= -2\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^c\varepsilon\rangle\Gamma_c\varepsilon \\ &= 2\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu\varepsilon\rangle\Gamma_\mu\varepsilon \\ &= 2\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu\varepsilon\rangle\Gamma_\mu\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

using equation (62). Plugging the above into the Fierz identity (137), we find

$$\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\rangle\,\Gamma_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon = -10\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu\varepsilon\rangle\Gamma_\mu\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\ , \tag{124}$$

whence the second part of the cocycle condition $d\Theta = 0$ becomes

$$(a_1 - 10a_2 + 2a_3)\,\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^\mu\varepsilon\rangle\,\Gamma_\mu\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon = 0 \tag{125}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \Delta_+$, which implies $a_1 = 10a_2 - 2a_3$. Putting both conditions together, we find that the nontrivial cocycle is a multiple of

$$\Theta = -2P^\mu \wedge Q^\alpha \otimes \Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha + Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta}\, L_{\mu\nu} + 6Q^\alpha \wedge Q^\beta \otimes (\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta} L_{ab}\ , \tag{126}$$

which shows that $H^2(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{k}) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

To first order in the deformation parameter t, the nonvanishing Lie brackets corresponding to the above cocycle, in addition to those of $\mathfrak{s}$ which do not deform, are given by

$$\begin{aligned}[][P_\mu, Q_\alpha] &= 2t\Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}P_\mu - 2t(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta}L_{\mu\nu} - 12t(\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta}L_{ab}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

There is an obstruction to integrating this deformation at order t^2, which can be overcome by introducing the bracket

$$[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 16t^2 L_{\mu\nu}\ . \tag{128}$$

One can check that the above Lie brackets now satisfy Jacobi for all t. When $t \neq 0$, one can rescale P_μ and Q_α in order to get rid of t and bring the Lie algebra to the following form

$$\begin{aligned}[][P_\mu, P_\nu] &= L_{\mu\nu} \\ [P_\mu, Q_\alpha] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_\mu \cdot Q_\alpha \\ [Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] &= \pm\left(\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}P_\mu - \tfrac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta}L_{\mu\nu} - 3(\Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W)_{\alpha\beta}L_{ab}\right)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

The bosonic subalgebra is now $\mathfrak{so}(2,6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$ and the Lie superalgebras above are real forms of the simple Lie superalgebra of type $D(4,1)$ in Kac's classification [22]. In fact, it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{osp}(6,2|2)$, which is the conformal superalgebra of six-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, which suggests that the worldvolume of the D6-brane curves to AdS_7.

This deformation is related via Kaluza–Klein reduction to the similar deformation of the Kaluza–Klein monopole superalgebra in equation (95) of [1, Section 5.2].

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have explored the Lie superalgebra deformations of the Killing superalgebras of ten-dimensional supergravity backgrounds. We have concentrated largely on the flat vacua, which have been shown to be rigid, and the elementary asymptotically flat branes. All have been found to be rigid except for the following:

- Types I and IIB D1-brane superalgebra, with deformed superalgebras given by (9) and (25), respectively;

- Types IIA and IIB fundamental string superalgebras, with deformations (72) and (30), respectively;
- Type IIA D2-brane superalgebra, with deformation (90), isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(2,1)\oplus\mathfrak{f}(4)$;
- Type IIA D6-brane superalgebra, with deformation (129), isomorphic to $\mathfrak{osp}(6,2|2)$; and
- Type IIB D7-brane superalgebra, with deformation (49).

In particular, these two latter deformations are related to the deformation of the delocalised M2-brane found in Section 4.4.1 and that of the Kaluza–Klein monopole background of eleven-dimensional supergravity in equation (95) of [1, Section 5.2].

These results seem to suggest a geometric origin for the deformations found in this paper, simply because it would otherwise be difficult to justify their good behaviour under a geometric process such as Kaluza–Klein reduction. If a background has a symmetry superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ and we consider its Kaluza–Klein reduction along the one-parameter subgroup generated by some element $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, then the symmetry superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of the quotient is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{h}_X$, where $\mathfrak{h}_X < \mathfrak{g}_0$ is the one-dimensional Lie subalgebra spanned by X and $\mathfrak{n} < \mathfrak{g}$ is the normaliser of $\mathfrak{h}_X$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. As a Lie superalgebra, $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$, where $\mathfrak{k}_1$ are those elements of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ which commute with X and $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is the normaliser of X in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ modulo the span of X. It is easy to check that for the delocalised M2 brane and the D2, which is its reduction along the delocalised direction, and for the Kaluza–Klein monopole and the D6, which is its reduction along the central element, the Killing superalgebras do indeed behave in the way just stated and *moreover* so do their deformations. It is precisely this coherence under Kaluza–Klein reduction which suggests that the deformations do have a geometric construction. Furthermore, as explained in Section 4.3, the deformation of the M2 brane does not induce a deformation of the fundamental string because the dimension of the superalgebras are different. Although it has not been the purpose of this paper to elucidate the geometric interpretation of the deformations found here and in [1]—this will be reported on in [12]—we nevertheless believe that we have given evidence that such an interpretation ought to exist.

Acknowledgments

We have enjoyed discussions about this topic with Patricia Ritter and Joan Simón. Part of this work was done while BV was visiting the School of Mathematics of the University of Edinburgh, with support from the Marie Curie Research Training Network Grant "ForcesUniverse" (contract no. MRTN-CT-2004-005104) from the European Community's Sixth Framework Programme.

Appendix A. Spinorial conventions

We work with a mostly plus metric and with a plus sign in the Clifford algebra. Let V denote an eleven-dimensional lorentzian vector space with signature $(10,1)$ and let $C\ell(V)$ denote its Clifford algebra. It is well-known that $C\ell(V) \cong \operatorname{End}(\Delta) \oplus \operatorname{End}(\Delta')$, where the irreducible Clifford modules Δ and Δ' are real and 32-dimensional and are distinguished by the action of the central element $\boldsymbol{\nu}_V$ corresponding to the volume form on V. We will work with Δ, say. Introduce an orthonormal frame $\boldsymbol{e}_0, \boldsymbol{e}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_\natural$ for V and denote the corresponding elements in $C\ell(V)$ by $\Gamma_0, \ldots, \Gamma_\natural$. Let $W = \langle \boldsymbol{e}_\natural \rangle^\perp$ be the ten-dimensional lorentzian subspace perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{e}_\natural$. Although Δ is irreducible under $\mathfrak{so}(V)$, it decomposes under $\mathfrak{so}(W)$ as $\Delta = \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$, each summand being an eigenspace of $\Gamma_\natural$. The invariant symplectic form $\langle -, - \rangle$

on Δ is such that $\Delta_\pm$ are lagrangian subspaces. If $\psi \in \Delta$, then there is an eleven-dimensional Fierz-type identity which reads

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\left\langle\psi, \Gamma^{MN}\psi\right\rangle \Gamma_{MN}\psi = -5\left\langle\psi, \Gamma^{M}\psi\right\rangle \Gamma_{M}\psi \ , \tag{130}$$

and a similar identity involving the natural 5-form:

$$\tfrac{1}{5!}\left\langle\psi, \Gamma^{M_1\cdots M_5}\psi\right\rangle \Gamma_{M_1\cdots M_5}\psi = -6\left\langle\psi, \Gamma^{M}\psi\right\rangle \Gamma_{M}\psi \ ,$$

which is consistent with the Fierz identity

$$32\lambda_\psi = \left\langle\psi, \Gamma^{M}\psi\right\rangle \Gamma_{M} - \tfrac{1}{2}\left\langle\psi, \Gamma^{MN}\psi\right\rangle \Gamma_{MN} + \tfrac{1}{5!}\left\langle\psi, \Gamma^{M_1\cdots M_5}\psi\right\rangle \Gamma_{M_1\cdots M_5} \ , \tag{131}$$

with $\lambda_\psi \in \operatorname{End}(\Delta)$ the rank-1 endomorphism defined by $\lambda_\psi(\chi) = \langle\psi,\chi\rangle\,\psi$.

We may reinterpret identity (130) in ten dimensions as follows. Let $\psi = \begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon_+\\ \varepsilon_-\end{pmatrix}$, with $\varepsilon_\pm \in \Delta_\pm$. Using that for any $\varepsilon \in \Delta_\pm$,

$$\left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{A}\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{A}\varepsilon = 0 \ , \tag{132}$$

where $A = 0, 1, \ldots, 9$, we may unpack equation (130) as

$$4\left\langle\varepsilon_\pm, \Gamma^{A}\varepsilon_\pm\right\rangle \Gamma_{A}\varepsilon_\mp - 10\left\langle\varepsilon_\pm, \varepsilon_\mp\right\rangle \varepsilon_\pm + \left\langle\varepsilon_\pm, \Gamma^{AB}\varepsilon_\mp\right\rangle \Gamma_{AB}\varepsilon_\pm = 0 \ . \tag{133}$$

In this paper we will need the restriction of this identity to various subspaces of Δ or Δ_+ or of $2\Delta_+$, depending on the supergravity theory in question. For type II D-branes, we will be interested in the graphs of linear maps $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_\pm$ inside $\Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_\pm$, with $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W$ the volume form of a lorentzian subspace of V associated to a brane worldvolume.

In the case of IIB D-branes, W is even-dimensional, and hence $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_+$. The Fierz identity of relevance is the restriction of equation (132), which now says

$$\left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu}\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{\mu}\varepsilon = 0 \ , \tag{134}$$

for $\mu = 0, \ldots, \dim W$.

In the case of IIA D-branes, W is odd-dimensional, and hence $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W : \Delta_+ \to \Delta_-$ and its graph consists of spinors with $\varepsilon_- = \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon_+$. In this case, the two identities (133) become equivalent to this one:

$$4\left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{A}\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{A}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon - 10\left\langle\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\right\rangle \varepsilon + \left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{AB}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{AB}\varepsilon = 0 \ , \tag{135}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \Delta_+$. We can refine this identity further. Let $\sigma_W := -(-1)^{p/2}$, where $\dim W = p+1$ with p even. The it follows that $\langle\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\rangle = \sigma_W \langle\varepsilon_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon_2\rangle$ and similarly that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_W^2 = \sigma_W\mathbf{1}$. Letting $\boldsymbol{e}_\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_a$ denote orthonormal frames for W and $W^\perp$, respectively, we have that

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\Gamma^\mu = \Gamma^\mu\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \qquad \text{whereas} \qquad \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\Gamma^a = -\Gamma^a\boldsymbol{\nu}_W \ .$$

Using this it is not hard to show that if $\sigma_W = -1$, so that $\dim W \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\rangle = 0$ and $\left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\right\rangle = 0$, whence the identity (135) becomes

$$4\left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu}\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{\mu}\varepsilon + 5\left\langle\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\right\rangle \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon + \left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu a}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{\mu a}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon = 0 \ . \tag{136}$$

On the other hand, if $\sigma_W = 1$, so that $\dim W \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then $\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu a}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\rangle = 0$, whence the identity (135) becomes

$$8\left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu}\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{\mu}\varepsilon + \left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon + \left\langle\varepsilon, \Gamma^{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon\right\rangle \Gamma_{ab}\boldsymbol{\nu}_W\varepsilon = 0 \ . \tag{137}$$

For branes which are not D-branes, e.g., the fundamental string and the NS5-brane, the subspace of Killing spinors is not naturally a graph. Any Fierz identities used in those calculations will be recalled as needed.

References

[1] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, "Deformations of M-theory Killing superalgebras," `arXiv:0706.2600 [hep-th]`.

[2] B. S. Acharya, J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. M. Hull, and B. Spence, "Branes at conical singularities and holography," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 1249–1286, `hep-th/9808014`.

[3] J. P. Gauntlett, R. Myers, and P. K. Townsend, "Supersymmetry of rotating branes," *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 025001, `hep-th/9809065`.

[4] J. P. Gauntlett, R. Myers, and P. K. Townsend, "Black holes of $D = 5$ supergravity," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16** (1999) 1–21, `hep-th/9810204`.

[5] P. K. Townsend, "Killing spinors, supersymmetries and rotating intersecting branes," in *Novelties in string theory (Göteborg, 1998)*, pp. 177–182. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1999. `hep-th/9901102`.

[6] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, "On the supersymmetries of Anti-de Sitter vacua," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16** (1999) 2043–2055, `hep-th/9902066`.

[7] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, "Homogeneous fluxes, branes and a maximally supersymmetric solution of M-theory," *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2001) 036, `hep-th/0105308`.

[8] M. Blau, J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. M. Hull, and G. Papadopoulos, "A new maximally supersymmetric background of type IIB superstring theory," *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2002) 047, `hep-th/0110242`.

[9] N. Alonso-Alberca, E. Lozano-Tellechea, and T. Ortín, "Geometric construction of Killing spinors and supersymmetry algebras in homogeneous spacetimes," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 6009–6024, `hep-th/0208158`.

[10] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, P. Meessen, and S. Philip, "Supersymmetry and homogeneity of M-theory backgrounds," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 207–226, `hep-th/0409170`.

[11] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, E. Hackett-Jones, and G. Moutsopoulos, "The Killing superalgebra of ten-dimensional supergravity backgrounds," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) 3291–3308, `hep-th/0703192`.

[12] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and P. D. Ritter, "Deformations of supergravity backgrounds." Work in progress.

[13] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and J. Simón, "Supersymmetric Kaluza–Klein reductions of M2 and M5-branes," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **6** (2002) 703–793, `hep-th/0208107`.

[14] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, "Maximal supersymmetric solutions of ten- and eleven-dimensional supergravity," *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2003) 048, `hep-th/0211089`.

[15] J. Schwarz, "Covariant field equations of chiral $N{=}2$ $D{=}10$ supergravity," *Nucl. Phys.* **B226** (1983) 269.

[16] M. Blau, J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. M. Hull, and G. Papadopoulos, "Penrose limits and maximal supersymmetry," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) L87–L95, `hep-th/0201081`.

[17] M. Blau, J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, and G. Papadopoulos, "Penrose limits, supergravity and brane dynamics," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 4753–4805, `hep-th/0202111`.

[18] M. Hatsuda, K. Kamimura, and M. Sakaguchi, "Super-pp-wave algebra from super-AdS x S algebras in eleven-dimensions," *Nucl. Phys.* **B637** (2002) 168–176, `hep-th/0204002`.

[19] R. Penrose, "Any space-time has a plane wave as a limit," in *Differential geometry and relativity*, pp. 271–275. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1976.

[20] R. Güven, "Plane wave limits and T-duality," *Phys. Lett.* **B482** (2000) 255–263, `hep-th/0005061`.

[21] V. D. Ljahovskiĭ, "Stability of semisimple superalgebras," *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **38** (1979), no. 1, 115–120.

[22] V. G. Kac, "A sketch of Lie superalgebra theory," *Comm. Math. Phys.* **53** (1977), no. 1, 31–64.

[23] B. Zumino, "Nonlinear realization of supersymmetry in de Sitter space," *Nucl. Phys.* **B127** (1977) 189–201.

[24] K. C. Tripathy and M. K. Patra, "Cohomology theory and deformations of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Lie algebras," *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990), no. 12, 2822–2831.

[25] B. Binegar, "Cohomology and deformations of Lie superalgebras," *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12** (1986), no. 4, 301–308.

[26] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, "BUSSTEPP lectures on supersymmetry," `hep-th/0109172`.

[27] P. S. Howe, N. D. Lambert, and P. C. West, "A new massive type IIA supergravity from compactification," *Phys. Lett.* **B416** (1998) 303–308, `hep-th/9707139`.

[28] L. Romans, "Massive N=2a supergravity in ten dimensions," *Phys. Lett.* **169B** (1986) 374.

[29] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and J. Simón, "Supersymmetric Kaluza–Klein reductions of AdS backgrounds," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **8** (2004) 217–317, `hep-th/0401206`.

Maxwell Institute and School of Mathematics, The University of Edinburgh
E-mail address: `J.M.Figueroa@ed.ac.uk`

Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
E-mail address: `Bert.Vercnocke@fys.kuleuven.be`